GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

SPECIAL PUBLIC MEETING

TUESDAY,

NOVEMBER 22, 2005

+ + + + +

The Special Public Meeting convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, pursuant to notice at 1:00 p.m., Geoffrey H. Griffis, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

GEOFFREY H. GRIFFIS Chairperson RUTHANNE G. MILLER CURTIS ETHERLY, JR. JOHN A. MANN, II

Vice-Chairperson Board Member Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN

Chairperson

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY BEVERLEY BAILEY Secretary

Zoning Specialist

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

SHERRY GLAZER, ESQ.

This transcript constitutes the minutes from the Special Public Meeting held on November 22, 2005.

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
<pre>WELCOME: Geoffrey Griffis</pre>	. 3
BANNUM, INC. APPLICATION NO. 17356:	. 3
MOTION TO DENY MOTION TO INTERVENE:	. 7 . 8
JEFFERSON LLC APPLICATION NO. 17377:	. 9
ACTION TAKEN: Set Down For Hearing on November 29 th	. 9
ADJOURN: Geoffrey Griffis	1.0

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 1:08 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Let me welcome you all to our afternoon session of the 22nd of November 2005. What is possibly not on the schedule that you have picked up today in the hearing room is a Special Public Meeting that we have set for this afternoon. I'm going to call that to order. It will take us very little time. We have essentially an administrative item to get through and then I will call the afternoon hearing for Case No. 17383.

With that I'm going to dispense with any openings for the Special Public Meeting and ask Mr. Moy and Ms. Bailey, and say a very good afternoon to them, if they would call the case for our attention.

MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. The first of the two cases for your attention is Application No. 17356. This is the application of Bannum, Inc. If the Board will recall on November 15, 2005 the Board convened and heard testimony on this application. The Board postponed or continued this application to December 20th.

In the interim, the Board also kept the

2.2

record open for a filing, which is a motion on behalf of Regina James, for the Board to take up at its Special Public Meeting on November the 22nd and that is in your case file. And the office has received three motions on this filing and Exhibits, I believe, 27, 28 and 29. Staff will conclude here unless --

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank you very much, Mr. Moy, and you're absolutely right. Just one correction. Of course, we didn't hear testimony or get into the aspects of the appeal that was before us, but rather set the postponement schedule, the timing and the presentation.

The important aspect was that we had the motion and the request to intervene in the appeal and that was as put forth in Regina James. We had set this for today, Board Members, as you recall, because the representative was not clear on how they were moving to intervene, whether it was on behalf of the entire ANC or whether it was, in fact, by the individual.

We have the filing that we had asked for, noted a clarification of Regina James' motion to intervene. I will open it up for discussion from the Board and what is before us is whether we grant intervenor status or not.

2.2

1 I am assuming, and I can be corrected, 2 that this clarification is for an individual and the representation by Mr. Temple of Ms. Regina James as an 3 4 intervenor and, therefore, we will need to establish whether she meets the threshold test to be established 5 6 intervenor. of the The ANC, course, 7 automatically an intervenor and participant in the 8 appeal. I'll open it up for comment. 9 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I just 10 want to make reference to the regulation that we're 11 operating under, which is 3106.3, which says that the 12 Board should grant party status only if the person 13 requesting party status has clearly demonstrated that 14 person's interest would likely the be more 15 significantly, distinctly or uniquely affected in character or kind by the proposed zoning relief than 16 17 those of other persons in the general public. My reading of the clarification of Regina 18 James' motion to intervene is that she is asserting 19 20 grounds for party status on two bases. One is that 21 she was a former party appellant in the related case and, second, that she is an ANC commissioner. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Agreed.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Looking at the first

she doesn't elaborate how having been a

grounds,

24

1 former party appellant makes her distinctly 2 uniquely affected in character or kind by the relief that is being sought in this case, as far as I can see 3 4 in the pleadings, so we're left to speculate on that. 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I absolutely In fact, each of them are tied in terms of 6 7 participation and the ANC's concern. And for me the 8 most direct sentence comes at the end of this, the 9 second to last paragraph that says James "seeks by 10 intervention to protect the ANC's interests consistent 11 with your previous ruling." 12 Well, the ANC is a participant in the case 13 and I'm not sure why that makes her uniquely in a 14 situation to hold that level of elements of protecting 15 the ANC's interests. I take it, Ms. Miller, you are not 16 17 supporting the granting of intervenor status. Is that 18 correct? VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, that's correct, 19 20 but I do want to just address her second reason, that 21 she is an ANC commissioner for the affected area. regulations provide that the ANC of the affected area 22 is a party as a matter-of-right so that there is no 23 24 need for a motion to intervene to represent the ANC as 25

and then the ANC would need to

whole,

authorization to participate.

So, for instance, if she was representing the ANC, then I think that we would need to see a resolution or something by the ANC saying that she was representing the ANC as a whole and it doesn't go to her affected Single Member District.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: So, therefore, I would be opposed to granting her party status but, of course, the ANC, if properly authorized, does have party status as a matter-of-right.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Others' comments? Very well. Not having any other additional comments on that, I would move denial of the intervenor, the motion to intervene by Ms. Regina James, based on that which has been stated and the lack of proving the threshold requirements to establish her as an intervenor. I would ask for a second.

BOARD MEMBER MANN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much,
Mr. Mann. Is there additional deliberation on the
motion? Comments? Not noting any others then, we
have a motion before us that has been seconded. I
would ask for all those in favor to signify by saying

1 aye.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ALL: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And opposed? Very well. Why don't we record the vote on that motion?

COMMISSIONER MITTEN: I just want to be clear. I'm not participating in this case. I haven't been on it.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed.

COMMISSIONER MITTEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: As we do the roll call, we will make sure that that is stated.

MR. MOY: Yes, thank you. The staff would record the vote as 4-0-1. This is on the motion to deny party status on the motion of the Chair, Mr. Griffis, seconded by Mr. Mann. Also in support of the motion, Mr. Etherly and Ms. Miller, the Vice Chair. And we have a Zoning Commission Member not participating on this case.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank you very much, Mr. Moy. Just for clarification, I know you said in your opening of this case that we do have other filings, Exhibit 28 and Exhibit 29, not to mention we may have others. Those are not taken up in this proceeding, a Special Public Meeting by the Board, but will be addressed appropriately at the

convening of the appeal which I believe was stated as 1 to be the 20th of December. 2 3 That is correct, sir. MR. MOY: 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Therefore, we do have no other business in that case. 5 Is that correct, Mr. Moy? 6 7 MR. MOY: We have one very quick one I believe, sir, which is --8 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But not in Appeal 10 No. 17356. We can close that and then let's move on 11 to the next and absolutely to the next case. We have 12 another quick decision on Application 17377 as we have 13 a motion to reschedule our decision making. And let's 14 take that up, Board Members, very quickly. 15 recall, did hear As you we this application, Jefferson LLC. The record was not left 16 17 open for any additional information on it. not able to process it in terms of deliberation on the 18 Bench based on the time. We are being requested to 19 20 set a Special Public Meeting for that, and I would 21 suggest that we do so for the next Tuesday which would be the 29th of November and move ahead with that 2.2 unless there is any objection from participating Board 23 24 Members.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: No objection.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Not
2	noting any voiced objection, we'll take it as a
3	consensus to do that. Mr. Moy, why don't we make that
4	be known. Also, given the case, we should let the ANC
5	and other participants know of the change in schedule.
6	MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Staff will take care
7	of that.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
9	you very much. Is there anything else for the Board's
10	attention in this Special Public Meeting today?
11	MR. MOY: No, sir. That will conclude
12	this session.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. If that
14	concludes then, why don't I conclude our Special
15	Public Meeting.
16	(Whereupon, the Special Public Meeting was
17	concluded at 1:17 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	